NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

DECLASO TIED E.O. 12068, 830, 3.4 NNO 96 9 5 3 9 By \$7/75 W Date 3/8/98

STATES OF

DECLASSIFIED PA/HO, Department of State E.O. 12958, as amended June 9, 2005

Department of State

TELEGRAM



PAGE 02 USUN N 03739 070138Z

HARMFUL EFFECT ON US/INDIA RELATIONS.

- 3. SECRETARY INITIATED DISCUSSION THIS SUBJECT SAYING HE WAS PERPLEXED AT PRIME MINISTER GANDHI'S PUBLIC REMARKS REGARDING CIA ACTIVITIES IN INDIA. INITIALLY SINGH TRIED TO SIDE STEP ISSUE IN LIGHTHEARTED MANNER SAYING MRS. GANDHI PAID COMPLIMENT TO CIA FOR ITS ACTIVITIES. SECRETARY REPLIED THIS CLEARLY NOT OUR UNDERSTANDING OF HER REMARK AND SISCO SET OUT DETAILS OF ALLEGATIONS BY CONGRESS PRESIDENT AND CHIEF MINISTERS WHICH MADE CLEAR TO US PRIME MINISTER'S MEANING.
- SINGH THEN SHIFTED TACTICS REFERRING TO PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS WITH SECRETARY OF THIS SUBJECT. WHILE PERHAPS IT IS NOT EASY FOR EITHER SIDE TO PROVE WHAT TAKING PLACE, IT HAS NOT BEEN DIFFICULT FOR GOT TO COME TO KNOW OF CIA ACTIVITIES. GOI HAS ITS OWN SOURCES AND KNOWS THAT CIA HAS BEEN IN CONTACT WITH PEOPLE IN INDIA IN "ARNORMAL WAYS". SAID GOI AWARE FUNCTION OF SUCH INTELLIGENCE ORGANIZATIONS IS TO GET INFORMATION, AND INFLUENCE PEOPLE. FOR EXAMPLE, GOI HAD INFORMATION THAT PROCEEDINGS OF CONGRESS WORKING COMMITTEE WERE KNOWN TO US OFFICIALS WITHIN TWO HOURS OF MEETINGS. SAID WAEN THIS HAPPENS IT OFFENDS PEOPLE. SINGH CONCLUDED THAT IT WOULD BE GOOD IF AS RESULT PRESENT CONTROVERSY ACTIVITIES OF AMERICANS IN INDIA WOULD BECOME MORE CAUTIOUS.
- 5. IN REPLY SECRETARY SAID HE COULD'T EMPHASIZE TOO MUCH HOW HARMFUL THESE FALSE ACCUSATIONS ARE TO US/
  INDIA RELATIONS. PARTICULARLY DISTURBING
  WAS PRIME MINISTER'S STATEMENT THAT INDIA DID
  NOT HAVE TO PROVE ACTIVITIES BUT THAT IT WAS UP TO CIA
  TO DISPROVE THEM. THIS WAS OFFENSIVE AND CONTRARY TO
  OUR DEMOCRATIC TRADITIONS. SECRETARY TOOK PARTICULAR
  EXCEPTION TO INDIA'S MAKING CHARGES IN PUBLIC SAYING
  PRESIDENT NIXON FEELS AS STRONGLY ABOUT THIS AS HE DOES.
  SAID IF INDIA HAS SUSPICIONS IT SHOULD RAISE THEM WITH
  USG PPIVATELY FIRST. SAID WE WOULD EXAMINE THEM
  AND IF NECESSARY TAKE CORRECTIVE ACTION.
- 6. SINGH CONTINUED THAT CIA IS KNOWN TO ACT IN WAYS NOT



DECLASSIFIED E.O. 12006, 80c. 3.4 NNO 969039 By 57/75W Date 5/9/98 DECLASSIFIED PA/HO, Department of State E.O. 12958, as amended June 9, 2005

## Department of State

## TELEGRAM



CRET

PAGE 03 USUN N 03739 070138Z

IN INTEREST OF ESTABLISHED GOVERNMENTS. INDIA IS NOT EXCEPTION TO THIS. WHILE INDIA DOES NOT HAVE JUDICIAL PROOF -- AND PREFERS NOT TO RESORT TO USE OF TAPE RECORDERS AND OTHER DEVICES TO PRODUCE SUCH PROOF -- IT HAS NO DOUBT ABOUT ACTIVITIES. SINGH THEN SAID HE WAS SURE SECRETARY KNEW THAT CIA SOMETIMES FUNCTIONED IN WAYS NOT KNOWN TO POLICY LEVEL OFFICIALS OF ADMINISTRATION AND ON OCCASION MAY BE RELUCTANT TO SEND INFORMATION REGARDING THEIR ACTIVITIES TO SUCH OFFICIALS. CLAIMED CIA WAS SEPARATE FROM ADMINISTRATION, SAYING THERE WAS GENERAL UNDERSTANDING CIA ACTED ON ITS OWN.

- 7. SECRETARY REPLIED THIS IS CLEARLY NOT SO. WE KNOW PRECISELY WHAT SUCH ORGANIZATIONS DO. FURTHERMORE, USG HASN'T SLIGHTEST INTEREST IN INTERFERING IN INDIA. SISCO EMPHSIZED THAT AMBASSADOR KEATING HAD KNOWN EVERYTHING ABOUT CIA IN INDIA. HE HAD BEEN SCRUPULOUS IN INQUIRING OF GOT FOR SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS WHICH HE COULD LOOK INTO. WE HAD PURSUED THIS ISSUE WITH GOT IN 1970 AND IT HAD BEEN UNABLE TO BACK UP CHARGES. WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO GET INTO DIALOGUE WITH GOT BUT THESE ALLEGATIONS POISON ATMOSPHERE.
- 8. SECRETARY THEN REPEATED THAT US HADN'T ANY INTEREST WHATSOEVER IN CREATING DIFFICULTIES WITHIN INDIA. INQUIRED AGAIN WHY GOI HAD MADE PUBLIC STATEMENTS. SUCH STATEMENTS GAVE US THE IMPRESSION INDIA EITHER DELIBERATELY TRYING TO CAUSE TROUBLE OR A LITTLE PARANOIAC. IF INDIA MAKES THESE UNSUBSTANTIATED CHARGES THIS WILL BE HARMFUL TO OUR RELATIONS.

GDS . ROGERS

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY